

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,464	09/22/2003	Hiroyuki Matsuura	242838US-8CONT	5519	
22850	7590 05/18/2005	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			NGUYEN, TU T		
ALEXANDRI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EX

		Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	<sup>'</sup> 665,464	MATSUURA ET AL.				
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
- ··· .			T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			•					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	28 Februa	ary 2005.					
2a) 🗌 🧻	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
•								
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or alacti	ion requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers		·					
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
י ובו(ייי	The dath of declaration is objected to by	ine Examin	ier. Note the attached Office	Action of form FT	0-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A44	4.3							
1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
	·		, <u> </u>					

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

The response to the election requirements submitted on 2/28/2005 has been considered. Upon examining the elected embodiment, it is found that the elected invention still imposes serious burden to examiner because claims 1-25 directs to several different species, and also includes a specific subcombination method for inspecting optical elements. To ensure quality service for the applicant own benefit, it is determined that further restriction should be issued. Therefore, the restriction requirement issued on 01/27/2005 is herein withdrawn. A new restriction is herein established. The applicant is requested to re-elect a single invention as follow:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for inspecting a plurality of optical elements, classified in class 356, subclass 239.2.
- II. Claims 12-25, drawn to an inspection board, classified in class 356, subclass 73.1.
- III. Claim 26, drawn to a method of arranging redundant-length portions of optical fibers, classified in class 385, subclass 135.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

Inventions I and II and III are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group I is a method for inspecting optical elements. The method of Group I does not require the subcombination of Group II to inspect the optical elements. The method of Group III does not require to use the subcombination Group II to arrange redundant-length portions of optical fibers. The subcombinations have separate utilities.

If Applicant elects Group II (claims 12-25), Applicant further needs to elect the following species:

**Species I:** Fig 4 (claims 12-20) directed to an optical module inspection apparatus comprising a redundant-length handling part.

**Species II:** Fig 9 (claims 21-25) directed to an optical module inspection apparatus comprising a temperature control part and a driving part.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,464 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

Ounguyen